

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/624,097 | 10/624,097 07/21/2003 | | James W. Cady | 254-094-CIP-4/CIP-1 | 6123 | | |
| 36485 | 7590 | 05/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| J. SCOTT I ANDREWS | | מוווה | TRAN, THANH Y | | | | |
| | | E., SUITE 1700 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| AUSTIN, T | X 78701 | • | 2822 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/200: | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| í | | Application No |). | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/624,097 | | CADY ET AL. | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summa | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | | Thanh Y. Tran | | 2822 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three is earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 | IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.130 is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply imum statutory period wifor reply will, by statute, nonths after the mailing | 6(a). In no event, how within the statutory mill apply and will expir- cause the application | vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c C (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication | (s) filed on <u>21 <i>Jul</i></u> | ly 2003. | | | • | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected. | Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | · | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) | Interview Summary | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 5) 6) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other: | | O-152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A) Species I: figures 1-3 and 5;

B) Species II: figure 4;

C) Species III: figure 6;

D) Species IV: figure 7; and

E) Species V: figure 8;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,097

Art Unit: 2822

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2110. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-6:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,097 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TYT

AMIR ZARABIAN
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER